

Math7335 Homework 7. Assigned on Nov 21, Due on Nov 28 (Tuesday) 2017 Name: _____

	Q1
50 points	50

Note:

1. The datasets used for the following question has been posted on TRACS in the "Homework Data" folder. Dataset file name is Data.Problem13.5.txt
2. Please upload an electronic version of your solution on TRACS and also hand in a hard copy.

Question 1. Revised Problem 13.5 on page 465

A study was performed to investigate new automobile purchases. A sample of 20 families was selected. Each family was surveyed to determine the age of their oldest vehicle and their total family income. A follow-up survey was conducted 6 months later to determine if they had actually purchased a new vehicle during that time period ($y = 1$ indicates yes and $y = 0$ indicates no). The data from this study are shown in the following table.

Income, x_1	Age, x_2	y	Income, x_1	Age, x_2	y
45,000	2	0	37,000	5	1
40,000	4	0	31,000	7	1
60,000	3	1	40,000	4	1
50,000	2	1	75,000	2	0
55,000	2	0	43,000	9	1
50,000	5	1	49,000	2	0
35,000	7	1	37,500	4	1
65,000	2	1	71,000	1	0
53,000	2	0	34,000	5	0
48,000	1	0	27,000	6	0

- a. Fit a logistic regression model to the data using a simple linear regression model (without interaction) as the structure for the linear predictor (*i.e., only use x_1 and x_2 , no interaction $x_1 * x_2$*).
- b. Interpret the model coefficients β_1 and β_2 .
- c. For the model in part a, test if each of the two coefficient β_1 and β_2 is significantly different from 0.
- d. What is the estimated probability that a family with an income of \$45,000 and a car that is 5 years old will purchase a new vehicle in the next 6 months?
- e. Expand the linear predictor to include an interaction term [*Note, you may need to create a new variable x_{12} or $x_3 = x_1 * x_2$ as the interaction term*]. Is there any evidence that this term is required in the model?

Question 1

Q1 Part (a)

	Estimate	Std. Error	z value	Pr(> z)
(Intercept)	-7.0471	4.6742	-1.51	0.1316
x1	0.0001	0.0001	1.16	0.2466
x2	0.9879	0.5274	1.87	0.0610

Null deviance: 27.726 on 19 degrees of freedom
 Residual deviance: 21.082 on 17 degrees of freedom
 AIC: 27.082

Number of Fisher Scoring iterations: 5

	Df	Deviance	Resid. Df	Resid. Dev
NULL			19	27.73
x1	1	0.73	18	26.99
x2	1	5.91	17	21.08

Q1 Part (b)

Since every one unit increase in x1 results in a 0.0001 increase in $\ln(\frac{p}{1-p})$, it looks like the income of the family not very relevant, where as the age of the car (x2) is highly relevant.

Q1 Part (c)

We will test if $\hat{\beta}_1$ and $\hat{\beta}_2$ are statistically significant (i.e. testing $H_0 : \hat{\beta}_1 = 0$ and $\hat{\beta}_2 = 0$)

Our Wald statistics (given by the z value in the summary) are:

$$\hat{\beta}_1 : 1.16$$

$$\hat{\beta}_2 : 1.87$$

We will test at $\alpha = 0.05$

$$Z_{\alpha/2} = Z_{0.05/2} = Z_{0.025} = -1.96$$

$$\hat{\beta}_1 : |1.16| > 1.96 \rightarrow \text{Not true.}$$

$$\hat{\beta}_2 : |1.87| > 1.96 \rightarrow \text{Not true.}$$

Thus,

we fail to reject H_0 .

Q1 Part (d)

```
new <- data.frame(x1 = 45000, x2 = 5)
predict(glm.1, new)
```

gives us 1.214124

So,

$$\ln(p/(1-p)) = 1.214124$$

$$p/(1-p) = e^{1.214124}$$

$$p = e^{1.214124}(1-p)$$

$$p = e^{1.214124} - pe^{1.214124}$$

$$(1 + e^{1.214124})p = e^{1.214124}$$

$$p = \frac{e^{1.214124}}{(1 + e^{1.214124})}$$

$$p(y = 1 | x1 = 45,000, x2 = 5) = 0.77102783$$

They have a **77.1%** chance of buying a new vehicle in the next 6 months.

Q1 Part (e)

```
glm.2 <- glm(y ~ x1 + x2 + (x1)*(x2), family=binomial(link=logit), data=q1data)
```

	Estimate	Std. Error	z value	Pr(> z)
(Intercept)	0.3144	6.3940	0.05	0.9608
x1	-0.0001	0.0001	-1.00	0.3177
x2	-2.4617	2.0815	-1.18	0.2369
x1:x2	0.0001	0.0001	1.61	0.1074

(Dispersion parameter for binomial family taken to be 1)

Null deviance: 27.726 on 19 degrees of freedom
 Residual deviance: 16.551 on 16 degrees of freedom
 AIC: 24.551

Number of Fisher Scoring iterations: 6

	Df	Deviance	Resid. Df	Resid. Dev
NULL			19	27.73
x1	1	0.73	18	26.99
x2	1	5.91	17	21.08
x1:x2	1	4.53	16	16.55

Yes - both parameters for x1 and x2 switched signs when the interaction term was added, indicating a possible dependency. The interaction term also has a p value of 0.1074, which itself is not a strong p value, but out of the 4 parameters, it is the most significant.