

Exam Tuesday, 31st of October (Halloween)

Covers: Section 4.2 (4.2.5 through end of section), 4.3, 4.4

## Limit Superior & Limit Inferior

### Definition 4.4.9

Let  $\{s_n\}$  be a bounded sequence.

A **subsequential limit** of  $\{s_n\}$  is a real number  $s$  such that  $s = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} s_{n_k}$  for some subsequence  $\{s_{n_k}\}$ .

If  $S = \{s \in \mathbb{R} : \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} s_{n_k} = s \text{ for some } \{s_{n_k}\} \text{ of } \{s_n\}\}$ , then

- the **limit superior** (or **upper limit**) of  $\{s_n\}$  is given by  $\limsup s_n = \sup S$
- the **limit inferior** (or **lower limit**) of  $\{s_n\}$  is given by  $\liminf s_n = \inf S$
- Clearly,  $\liminf s_n \leq \limsup s_n$ . If it happens that  $\liminf s_n < \limsup s_n$ , then we say that  $\{s_n\}$  **oscillates**.

---

Side Note

---

$$|s_n| \leq M, \forall n \in \mathbb{N}$$

$$-M < s_n < M$$

If  $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} s_{n_k} = s \in S$ , then

$$-M < s_{n_k} < M, \text{ so}$$

$$-M < s < M$$

#18, page 179

---

### Theorem 1

A bounded sequence  $\{s_n\}$  converges to  $s$  iff  $\liminf s_n = \limsup s_n = s$

*Proof.*

→ Assume  $\{s_n\}$  converges to  $s$ .

By Theorem 4.4.4,  $S = \{s\}$  (contains only one element).

Then,

$$\liminf s_n = \inf S = s$$

$$\limsup s_n = \sup S = s$$

So,

$$\liminf s_n = \limsup s_n = s$$

←

(see HW 8, Exercise 9, page 194)

□

**Example 4.4.10****Let:**  $s_n = (-1)^n + \frac{1}{n}$ 

Show that

$$\liminf s_n = -1,$$

$$\limsup s_n = 1$$

Notice that if

$$n \text{ is even} \Rightarrow s_n = 1 + \frac{1}{n}$$

$$n \text{ is odd} \Rightarrow s_n = -1 + \frac{1}{n}$$

Thus,

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} s_{2k} = 1$$

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} s_{2k+1} = -1$$

Thus,

$$S = \{-1, 1\}$$

Hence,

$$\limsup s_n = 1$$

$$\liminf s_n = -1$$

**Theorem 4.4.11**Let  $\{s_n\}$  be a bounded sequence and let

$$s^* = \limsup s_n$$

$$s_* = \liminf s_n$$

a.  $\forall \epsilon > 0, \exists N(\epsilon) \in \mathbb{N}$  st

$$s_n < s^* + \epsilon \text{ for } n \geq N$$

b.  $\forall \epsilon > 0$  and  $i \in \mathbb{N}, \exists j > i$  st

$$s_j > s^* - \epsilon$$

i.e. there are an infinite number of terms of  $\{s_n\}$  that are greater than  $s^* - \epsilon$ i.e. in the interval  $(s^* - \epsilon, s^* + \epsilon)$ , there are an infinite number of terms of  $s_n$ .Outside of that interval, there are a finite number of terms of  $s_n$ .

c.  $\forall \epsilon > 0, \exists N(\epsilon) \in \mathbb{N}$  st

$$s_n > s_* - \epsilon \text{ for } n \geq N$$

d.  $\forall \epsilon > 0$  and  $i \in \mathbb{N}, \exists j > i$  st

$$s_j < s_* + \epsilon$$

*Proof.*

We shall prove a and b. c and d are similar.

(a)

Suppose it's false. i.e.:

**Suppose:**  $\exists \epsilon > 0$  st  $\forall N \in \mathbb{N}, \exists n \geq N$  st

$$s_n \geq s^* + \epsilon$$

---

Side Note

---

In other words, suppose:  $\{s_{n_k} \geq s^* + \epsilon\}$

By Theorem 4.4.4, every bounded sequence has a convergent subsequence.

If we let  $\{s_{n_k}\}$  be a subsequence of itself and label it differently:

$$\{s_{n_l}\}_{l=1}^{\infty},$$

then

$$s_{n_l} \rightarrow s \text{ as } l \rightarrow \infty$$


---

So, for  $N = 1, \exists n_1 \geq N$  st

$$s_{n_1} \geq s^* + \epsilon$$

Then,

for  $N = n_1 + 1, \exists n_2 \geq n_1 + 1 > n_1$  st

$$s_{n_2} \geq s^* + \epsilon$$

So, inductively, we find a subsequence  $\{s_{n_k}\}$  st

$$s_{n_k} \geq s^* + \epsilon \forall k \in \mathbb{N}$$

Since  $\{s_{n_k}\}$  is itself a bounded sequence, there is a subsequence of  $\{s_{n_k}\}$  that we refer to by:

$$\{s_{n_l}\}_{l=1}^{\infty}$$

st

$$\lim_{l \rightarrow \infty} s_{n_l} = s \in \mathbb{K} \text{ (Theorem 4.4.7)}$$

where  $s \geq s^* + \epsilon$

Since  $s \in S$ , we see that  $\limsup s_n = s^* \geq s^* + \epsilon$ , which is a contradiction.

Hence, (a) is true.

(b)

Suppose it's false. i.e.:

**Suppose:**  $\exists \epsilon > 0$  and  $\exists i \in \mathbb{N}$  st  $\forall j > i,$

$$s_j \leq s^* - \epsilon$$

Thus, if  $\{s_{n_k}\}$  is a subsequence st  $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} s_{n_k} = s$ , then

$$s \leq s^* - \epsilon$$

which is like saying:

$$s^* \leq s^* - \epsilon$$

(a contradiction)

For further clarification, notice that  $s^* - \epsilon$  is an upper bound for all  $s \in S$ , which says:  $s^* \leq s^* - \epsilon$

(a contradiction)

**Summary:**

In (a), we said  $\exists N_1 \in \mathbb{N}$  st  $s_n < s + \epsilon \forall n \geq N_1$

In (b), we said  $\exists N_2 \in \mathbb{N}$  st  $s - \epsilon < s_n \forall n \geq N_2$

---

At the bottom of page 190:

Furthermore,

if  $s^* \in \mathbb{R}$  satisfying **(a)** and **(b)**,

then  $s^* = \limsup s_n$

Also,

if  $s_* \in \mathbb{R}$  satisfying **(c)** and **(d)**,

then  $s_* = \liminf s_n$

We shall complete the proof by proving the result for  $s^*$

**Let:**  $s^* \in \mathbb{R}$  satisfy **(a)** and **(b)**

We claim that  $s^* = \limsup s_n$ , and will prove it by contradiction.

**Suppose:**  $s^* \neq \limsup s_n$

Case:

i)  $s^* > \limsup s_n$

So,  $s^* - \epsilon$  is between  $\limsup s_n$  and  $s^*$

Let:

$$\epsilon = \frac{s^* - \limsup s_n}{2}$$

**Version One:**

By **(b)**, for this  $\epsilon > 0$ , and for  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $\exists j \in \mathbb{N}$  st

$j > i$  and

$$s_j > s^* - \epsilon$$

Since there are an infinite number of possible values of  $j$ , there is a subsequence  $\{s_{n_k}\}$  st

$$s_{n_k} > s^* - \epsilon$$

$\forall k \in \mathbb{N}$

This contradicts the definition of  $\limsup s_n$ .

Thus, there is a further subsequence converging to a limit  $s$  st

$$s \geq s^* - \epsilon \geq s^*$$

Which is also a contradiction.

**Version Two:**

By **(b)**, for  $i = 1$ ,  $\exists j = n_1 > 1$  st

$$s_{n_1} > s^* - \epsilon$$

Then, for  $i = n_1$ ,  $\exists j = n_2 > n_1$  st

$$s_{n_2} > s^* - \epsilon$$

So, inductively, we find a subsequence  $\{s_{n_k}\}$  of  $\{s_n\}$  st

$$s_{n_k} > s^* - \epsilon$$

Since  $\{s_{n_k}\}$  is a bounded sequence.

So, there is a convergent subsequence  $\{s_{n_l}\}$  of  $\{s_{n_k}\}$  st  $\lim_{l \rightarrow \infty} s_{n_l} = s$  where  $s \geq s^* - \epsilon$

So, for  $s \in S$ ,  $\limsup s_n \geq s \geq s^* - \epsilon = \frac{\limsup s_n + s^*}{2} > \limsup s_n$ , **a contradiction.**

Hence,  $s^* \not> \limsup s_n$ .

ii)  $s^* < \limsup s_n$

**Let:**  $\epsilon = \frac{\limsup s_n - s^*}{2}$

By **(a)**,  $\exists N(\epsilon) \in \mathbb{N}$  st

$$s_n < s^* + \epsilon \text{ for } n \geq N$$

Thus,  $\exists s \in S$  st

$$s \leq s^* + \epsilon$$

Thus,  $\limsup s_n \leq s^* + \epsilon = \frac{\limsup s_n + s^*}{2} < \limsup s_n$ , **a contradiction.**

Hence,  $s^* < \limsup s_n$

□

Cases (i) and (ii) together yield the contradiction that  $s^* = \limsup s_n$ , another contradiction.

On page 195, problem # (a): Prove that  $\limsup s_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (\sup \{s_{n+1}, s_{n+2}, s_{n+3}, \dots\})$

---

Side Note

---

If  $\{s_{n_k}\}$  is a subsequence of  $\{s_n\}$  st  $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} s_{n_k} = s$ .

Then  $s \in S$

---

### Corollary 4.4.12

Let  $\{s_n\}$  be a bounded sequence and let  $s^* = \limsup s_n$ ,  $s_* = \liminf s_n$ .

Then,  $s_*$ ,  $s^* \in S$  (i.e.  $s_*$ ,  $s^*$  are themselves subsequential limit points).

*Proof.*

For  $\epsilon = 1$ , by Theorem 4.4.11 **(a)**,  $\exists N_1 \in \mathbb{N}$  st

$$s_n < s^* + 1, \text{ for } n \geq N_1 \tag{1}$$

By Theorem 4.4.11, **(b)**, for  $\epsilon = 1$ ,  $i = N_1$ ,  $\exists n_1 > i = N_1$  st

$$s_{n_1} > s^* - 1$$

and

$$s^* - 1 < s_{n_1} < s^* + 1 \text{ using (1)}$$

For  $\epsilon = \frac{1}{2}$ ,  $\exists N_2 \in \mathbb{N}$  st

$$s_n < s^* + \frac{1}{2} \text{ for } n \geq N_2 \text{ using (a)} \tag{2}$$

Also, for  $i = \max\{n_1, N_2\}$ ,  $\exists j = n_2 > i$  (i.e.  $n_2 > n_1$  and  $n_2 > N_2$ ) st

$$s^* - \frac{1}{2} < s_{n_2} < s^* + \frac{1}{2}$$

Inductively, we can construct a sequence  $\{s_{n_k}\}$  of  $\{s_n\}$  st

$$s^* - \frac{1}{k} < s_{n_k} < s^* + \frac{1}{k}$$

Hence,  $|s_{n_k} - s^*| < \frac{1}{k} \rightarrow 0$  as  $k \rightarrow \infty$

Hence,  $s^* = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} s_{n_k}$ , which completes the proof.

□

**Theorem 4.4.14**

Assume that  $\{r_n\}$  converges to  $r \in \mathbb{R}$  where  $r > 0$  and  $\{s_n\}$  is bounded.  
Then  $\limsup r_n s_n = r \limsup s_n$

*Proof.*

$\exists M_1, M_2 \in \mathbb{R}$  st

$$|s_n| \leq M_1 \text{ and } |r_n| \leq M_2, \forall n \in \mathbb{N}$$

So,

$$|r_n s_n| \leq M_1 M_2$$

Thus, the sequence  $\{r_n s_n\}$  is bounded, which means  $\limsup r_n s_n$  exists.

By Corollary 4.4.12,  $\exists$  a subsequence  $\{s_{n_k}\}$  of  $\{s_n\}$  st  $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} s_{n_k} = \limsup s_n$

Then,  $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} r_{n_k} s_{n_k} = (\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} r_{n_k})(\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} s_{n_k}) = r \limsup s_n$

(i.e.  $r \limsup s_n$  is a subsequential limit point of the sequence  $\{r_n s_n\}$ )

Thus,  $r \limsup s_n \leq \limsup r_n s_n$  **(1)**

Also, assume that  $\{r_{n_l} s_{n_l}\}$  is a subsequence of  $\{r_n s_n\}$  st

$$\lim_{l \rightarrow \infty} r_{n_l} s_{n_l} = t$$

Then,

$$\lim_{l \rightarrow \infty} s_{n_l} = \lim_{l \rightarrow \infty} s_{n_l} \left( \lim_{l \rightarrow \infty} \frac{r_{n_l}}{r} \right) = \lim_{l \rightarrow \infty} \frac{s_{n_l} r_{n_l}}{r} = \frac{t}{r} \leq \limsup s_n$$

So,  $t \leq r \limsup s_n$  (which says  $r \limsup s_n$  is an upper bound)

So,  $\limsup r_n s_n \leq r \limsup s_n$  **(2)**

**(1)** and **(2)** imply that  $\limsup r_n s_n = r \limsup s_n$  □

**Unbounded Sequences**

Case:

i)  $\{s_n\}$  is unbounded above.

By Theorem 4.4.8, there is a monotonic subsequence  $\{s_{n_k}\}$  of  $\{s_n\}$  st  $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} s_{n_k} = \infty$

In this case, we define  $\limsup s_n = \infty$

ii)  $\{s_n\}$  is bounded above but unbounded below.

**subcase (i):**  $\exists$  a subsequence  $\{s_{n_k}\}$  st  $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} s_{n_k} = s \in \mathbb{R}$

In this case,  $s \in S$ , and, as before,  $\limsup s_n = \sup S$

**subcase (ii):** No subsequence of  $\{s_n\}$  converges to a finite limit.

In this case,  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} s_n = -\infty$ , and we define  $\limsup s_n = -\infty$

So for any  $M \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $\exists N \in \mathbb{N}$  st

$$s_n < M \text{ for } n \geq N$$

and  $S = \{-\infty\}$